	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/653,235	SEO ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		EILEEN ADAMS	2481
_			•

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>EILEEN ADAMS</u> .	(3)			
(2) John W. Fitzpatrick Reg. #41, 018.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 31 August 2011.				
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.			
Issues Discussed \(\sqrt{101} \sqrt{112} \sqrt{102} \sqrt{103} \sqrt{00th} \) (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detail				
Claim(s) discussed: 1.9.12 and 19.				
Identification of prior art discussed: None.				
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreemen reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, argum				
Obtained Authorization for Examiner's Amendment to ame language in preamble. Additional Claim amendments propo	ised by Applicant were approved by Examiner.			
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of he substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the peneral thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other periment matters discussed regarding patentability and the peneral results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.				
Attachment	I That Trust			
/EILEEN ADAMS/ Examiner, Art Unit 2481	/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2484			
S Patent and Trademark Office				